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ABSTRACT

In 1975, the Taiwan Livestock Research Institute (TLRI) developed the first horizontal-type anaerobic fermenter using a bag of red mud plastic (RMP) in which hog wastes were treated. After many years of improvement, a three-step treatment system, which includes solid-liquid separation, anaerobic treatment, and aerobic treatment, was developed. This system has been extended to hog farmers since 1987, and is considered most suitable for Taiwan’s conditions. According to the official data from 1993, out of the 9,108 hog farms that raised more than 200 pigs, 6,827 have installed waste treatment facilities. Tent-type anaerobic digesters have been adopted on more than 2,000 farms, and a three-step wastewater treatment system has been adopted on more than 1,000 farms. After the three-step treatment, the BOD and SS of treated water are both below 100 mg per liter, which meets national regulatory standards.

TLRI has also developed a manure-bed pig house which is especially suitable for pig farms located in water resource protection areas or for farmers raising less than 200 pigs, for whom elaborate waste treatment facilities are not economical. One-third of the pigbed is dug out into a 30 cm deep pit filled with rice husks or wood chips to absorb the pig excreta. The other two-thirds is left for feeding and other activities. The manure-bed pigpens do not generate wastewater. Cleaning is required only when the pigs are sold. The mixture of hog manure and rice husk or woodchips is made into compost. After one month of composting, the mature compost can be used as fertilizer for crops.
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INTRODUCTION

Swine production has become very important in the agriculture of Taiwan (Fig. 1), with a total of 9.75 million head being raised at the end of 1993 on 33,247 pig farms. Fig. 2 shows changes in the number of pigs and pig farms over the past 20 years. If the amount of wastewater for each pig is estimated as 20 liters per day, the total wastewater produced by pigs is 19 tons per day, making this the third most important pollution source in Taiwan, after sewage and industrial wastewater.

Since 1987, the quality of waste water draining from livestock farms has been required to meet government standards (Table 1). A great variety of wastewater treatment methods have been tried. Of these, the three-step waste treatment system developed by the Taiwan Livestock Research Institute (TLRI), which includes solid-liquid separation, anaerobic treatment, and aerobic treatment, is accepted as one of the best systems for Taiwan, and has been extended to hog farms since 1987. By the end of 1993, 6,827 out of the total of 9,108 hog farms that raised more than 200 pigs had invested in waste treatment facilities. Tent-type anaerobic digesters were being used on more than 2,000 farms, while three-step waste treatment systems were in use on more than 1,000 farms. After the three-step treatment, the Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) and Suspended Solids (SS) of treated water were both less than 100 mg per liter.
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Fig. 1. Agriculture and livestock production in Taiwan
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Fig. 2. No. of pigs and pig farms in Taiwan, 1970-1990
Table 1. National regulations for drainage from livestock farms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>COD</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock 1b</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock 2a</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The different standards of 1987 were related to the scale of livestock farms. Livestock 1 applied to farms with more than 1000 pigs; Livestock 2 applied to farms with 200 - 999 pigs, or more than 50 cattle or dairy cows, or more than 10,000 broilers, or more than 5,000 layers.

The different standards of 1992 and 1998 were related to the species of livestock raised. Livestock 1 were farms with non-herbivorous animals; Livestock 2 were farms with herbivorous animals.

---

**THREE-STEP SWINE WASTE TREATMENT**

Three-step swine waste treatment consists of solid-liquid separation, anaerobic treatment, and aerobic treatment. In some cases, composting, biogas utilization and sludge treatment are also included. The expected performance of this three-step treatment is shown in Fig. 3.
Solid-liquid Separation

Separation of the solid fraction from the wastewater is used to reduce the content of solids for subsequent handling and treatment, and to recover the solids for using as fertilizer etc. This physical process is accomplished by using various kinds of screens and scrubblers. The efficiency of this treatment is a 15-30% decrease in BOD, and a 50% decrease in SS. The moisture content of the separated solids is 70-80%. An extruder is often added, to reduce the water content of the solids to below 70% so that the material is suitable for composting.

Anaerobic Treatment

Since hog wastes are biodegradable, biological treatment is generally an economical way of handling them. The horizontal tent-type anaerobic fermenter is a modification of the Red Mud Plastic (RMP) bag fermenter which was also developed by the Taiwan Livestock Research Institute. Among its merits are the fact that it is easy to construct, has a low investment cost, is easy to maintain, and can be separated into several divisions as desired. These fermenters can be sealed from either inside or outside. The four sides of RMP sheet which make up the top of the fermenter are tubular in shape, so that PVC pipes may be inserted inside them to give extra strength. The strengthened sheet is then fixed to the wall of the fermenter with hooks.

The hydraulic retention time (HRT) is calculated according to the amount of water used to wash the pig houses, following the following formula:

\[
\text{HRT} = \frac{\text{Volume of fermenter}}{\text{Volume daily (manure + washing water)}}
\]

A 1:3 ratio of manure to washing water is suggested, which can easily be achieved with a flushing tank system. According to work by Hong, (1985) the daily excreta of a 100 kg pig comes to around 5 liters, so that the total wastewater from one pig may be estimated as 20 liters. A HRT of 12-15 days is common for hog wastewater treatment.

A tent-type fermenter should consist of no fewer than two digesters. The volume of the first digester is usually 1.2 times the daily wastewater. Both acidogenesis and sedimentation take place in this first digester. Most of the methanogenesis reaction occurs in the rear digesters. Biogas may be collected for use as fuel. The excreta of each pig can generate 0.1-0.3 m³ of biogas per day. Biogas may be used in cooking stoves, water heaters, water pumps, electric power generators, gas lamps, for warming piglets, in vehicles, mowers, in incinerators for dead animals, etc.

Aerobic Treatment

There are many types of aerobic treatment that may be utilized for livestock wastewater. Considering the environmental conditions of Taiwan and its subtropical climate, activated sludge processing and oxidation ditches are recommended. In aerobic treatment, organic matter is decomposed solely through aerobic oxidation.

Activated sludge processes are versatile and flexible. Effluent of any desired quality can be produced by varying the processing parameters. These processes require less land but more skilled management than simpler processes such as oxidation ditches. Activated sludge is a complex biological mass that results when organic wastes are aerobically treated. The sludge will contain a variety of heterotrophic microorganisms, including bacteria, protozoa, and higher forms of life. The relative abundance of any particular microbial species will depend on the type of waste that is being treated and the way in which the process is operated. For optimum treatment, raw waste must be balanced nutritionally. In three-step treatment, most of the easily biodegradable matter has already been decomposed in the anaerobic digester, so that operating an activated sludge treatment requires intensive care for good performance. It is best to control the BOD of anaerobic effluent at around 1000 mg/L. The growth conditions for microorganisms in activated sludge tanks are usually measured according to mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) and sludge volume index (SVI). The HRT for an aerobic tank is normally 1.0-1.5 days.

While activated sludge tanks have a water depth of 2-5 m, this should not exceed 1.5 m in oxidation ditches. Oxidation ditches therefore require a larger land area, but have the advantages of being easy to operate and of generating less sludge.

A final clarifier to settle the activated sludge before the discharge of treated water is required in aerobic treatment. The settled sludge may be removed by mechanical methods for return to the aerobic unit, or be dehydrated for disposal. Usually
the HRT in the clarifier should not exceed 6 hours.

The Three-Step Swine Waste Treatment System at TLRI

The three-step waste treatment plant at TLRI was built in 1988, and was designed to treat wastes from 3000 hogs weighing 100 kg each. In 1991, the system was modified so that it could be operated automatically, thus improving efficiency and saving labor. It is now able to handle wastes from 1,600 pigs, 5,500 chickens, 500 ducks, 3,500 rabbits and 180 cows raised in the Institute.

The system includes: (1) liquid-solid separation, (2) anaerobic fermentation, (3) activated sludge process, (4) composting and (5) biogas utilization unit. After the first three treatments, the quality of discharged water is able to meet statutory requirements.

Fig. 4 shows a flow diagram for the system, and automation control points. Most pig houses at TLRI have concrete floors which are washed manually in the morning, between 8 am and 10 am. This period has the highest wastewater flow. For wastewater of pigs only, it is about 250 liters per minute. Wastewater flows into the treatment system by gravity alone.

The solid fraction in the wastewater is separated out by a screen and an extruder to reduce its water content to 60-70%, a level suitable for composting. This screening unit can treat more than 250 L per min in order to meet requirements for the time of greatest water flow. The treatment efficiency for this step is a 20-25% reduction in BOD.

The total volume of a tent-type anaerobic fermenter is 100 m³, divided into eight digesters. The rear part of the first digester is uncovered, so that the removal of scum and settled sludge can be easily accomplished. Biogas generated from the anaerobic fermenter is collected to generate electricity which provides power for the treatment system.

The aerobic treatment plant at TLRI includes four units: an adjustment tank, a flow rate controller, an activated sludge unit, and a sedimentation tank. The liquid in the activated sludge tank has a mixed liquor suspended solid (MLSS) content of 2,000-2,500 mg/L, while the dissolved oxygen (DO) value is maintained at 0.5-1.0 mg/L.

The system has performed well over the past five years. Water quality over the last eight months is shown in Table 2.

Automation

The system has been modified so that it can be automatically operated, in order to save labor and improve operational performance. A supervisory computer and a programmable logic controller (PLC) has been installed in a central control room. The supervisory computer, together with a color monitor and the programmable logic controller, are used to monitor and control the whole system (Figs 5 and 6).

The major functions of the supervisory computer are: (1) To display dynamically the on/off status of all equipment in the system, (2) Monitoring and controlling variables such as mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) and dissolved oxygen, and activating an alarm system when critical conditions are detected. The programmable logic controller is responsible for receiving and transmitting signals between on-site equipment and the supervisory computer.

The MLSS and DO levels in the activated sludge tank are monitored on-line and selected as the control variables in feedback control. The set points are specified as in the range 2,300-2,500 mg/L for MLSS and • 1.0 mg O₂/L for DO. These points may be changed by entering the desired values on the keyboard if operational requirements change. When the values of the control variables monitored are outside the specified range, the signals for adjustment are generated by the supervisory computer and transmitted to the relevant control elements e.g. sludge pumps are turned on in order to recycle the sludge.

MANURE-BED PIG HOUSES

Manure-bed pig houses are especially suitable for areas where water resources are protected or for farmers raising less than 200 pigs, for whom waste treatment facilities are not economical.

One-third of the pen area is dug out to make a pit 30 cm deep. This is filled with rice husk or wood chips to absorb the pig excreta. The other two-thirds of the pen is left for feeding and activity (Fig. 7). Manure-bed pig houses do not use or generate wastewater, and are cleaned out only after each batch of pigs is sold. This cleaning can be easily done mechanically, and the mixture of hog wastes and rice husk or wood chips is sent to a composting house. After one month of composting, the mature compost can be used as fertilizer for crops.
Fig. 4. Flow diagram of automatic controls and operations of swine waste treatment system developed at TLRI.
Table 2. Efficiency of three-step waste treatment plant at TLRI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Standards for drainage entering waterways</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock 1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock 2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The different standards of 1987 were related to the scale of livestock farms. Livestock 1 applied to farms with more than 1000 pigs; Livestock 2 applied to farms with 200 - 999 pigs, or more than 50 cattle or dairy cows, or more than 10,000 broilers, or more than 5,000 layers.

The different standards of 1992 and 1998 were related to the species of livestock raised. Livestock 1 were farms with non-herbivorous animals; Livestock 2 were farms with herbivorous animals.

![Diagram of Automated units for three-step waste treatment at TLRI](image)

Fig. 5. Automated units for three-step waste treatment at TLRI
Fig. 6. Automated control of the system

Fig. 7. Design of manure-bed pig house
Sanitation is particularly important for manure-bed pig houses, since no washing or disinfection is carried out. A suggested timetable for parasiticide application is shown in Fig. 8.

CONCLUSION

The three-step hog waste treatment system is considered most suitable for Taiwan, and has been adopted on more than 1,000 farms. Manure-bed pig houses are in use on a number of small-scale farms. The demand for environmental protection is an international trend. It is the responsibility of those in the livestock industry to manage animal wastes in such a way as to prevent environmental pollution. However, the solution to the problem of livestock wastes does not depend on technical development alone. Legislation and government action, and the willingness of farmers to invest in, and operate well, waste treatment facilities, are also important.

DISCUSSION

Dr. Sheen was asked the approximate cost of the digester described in his paper which could handle wastes from 1600 pigs or 180 cows. He explained that the average cost in Taiwan is NT$1000-1500 (US$40-60) per cubic meter of digester. Wastes from one pig require about 0.3 m³ of digester, while those from a cow require four or five times as much. However, the exact construction costs depend on the local situation, including labor costs and the underground water level. Dr. Na referred to a similar project for swine waste treatment in Korea, and pointed out that some years ago, waste treatment plants had been used to produce biogas. However, it had been found that the Korean climate is too cold for biogas production, especially in winter when biogas is most needed. Dr. Sheen felt that the lower temperature limit for anaerobic fermentation is around 14°C, which means that it is best suited to tropical or subtropical climates. He suggested that in a cooler country like Korea, anaerobic fermentation plants needed insulation or should be of the vertical digester type widely used in Northern Europe. However, this would make the digester ten times more expensive. Dr. Na asked how the solid and liquid wastes are separated, and Dr. Sheen explained that this is done by physical methods such as nets and screens. Farmers should not use too much water when they wash down the floors of pens, to minimize the water content of the wastes.

Dr. Kim asked whether sawdust could be used in the manure bed pighouse, and whether this type of housing had any effect on pig performance. Dr. Sheen replied that sawdust which pigs have been using for some time has a water content of 60-70%, which would be high enough for fermentation to occur. This would release ammonium and other gases, which would not be good for growing pigs. Wood chips have a lower water content, and there is no difference in the growing rate between pigs kept in a manure bed pighouse or on a conventional bed. Dr. Sheen explained that in winter, the pigs may like to sleep in the wood chips or rice hull, while in summer they can keep cool on the concrete floor. Dr. Harada asked about the recycling in Taiwan of nitrogen, an important nutrient but also a potential pollutant. Dr. Sheen replied that formerly in Taiwan, pollution parameters focussed
on the levels of COD, SS and BOD, which meant that only the carbon was removed and there was little attempt to remove the nitrogen. There is now an attempt to remove the nitrogen from wastewater, and three pilot plants are in operation for this. One possible solution is to reduce the hydraulic retention time, so that some nitrogen and carbon remain for the next treatment. Mr. Ahmad asked whether swine wastes have a high phosphorus content, and whether this causes any pollution problems. Dr. Sheen replied that there is considerable discussion in Taiwan about standards for wastewater, including phosphorus content. It seems that operations to remove nitrogen are different from those to treat phosphorus. Treatments which give good removal of nitrogen give only poor removal of phosphorus. Dr. Sheen emphasized that work is still continuing in Taiwan, where this problem is not yet solved.

Dr. Sheen was also asked about the possibility of prolonging the anaerobic treatment of swine manure and using the solids as cattle feed. He emphasized the need for good pretreatment of cattle wastes, because these contain a lot of straw. This should be removed or it will fill up the digester. He did not recommend using the digested solids to feed live animals, because after drying they have a high mineral concentration. He felt that it is better to use them for compost.

He was also asked the most efficient waste treatment method for small livestock farms raising only 10-12 pigs. He suggested that the manure bed pig house can be used for very small livestock farms, and the solid wastes collected manually. Another method is to build an integrated treatment center. A storage tank is installed in the pig house, and the wastes periodically collected by truck and taken to a central treatment plant. In this way, several small livestock farms can share a single processing facility. Mr. Adonay described a traditional system of waste disposal used in the Philippines, in which a deep pit is dug near the pig house so that the wastes flow down into the pit. However the smell is often offensive, especially during the hot season, and Dr. Sheen was asked for suggestions on how to solve this problem. Dr. Sheen pointed out that animal wastes contain organic matter, and under suitable conditions microorganisms will begin to degrade the organic materials, generating gases such as ammonia and hydrogen sulfide. He suggested that the smell can be reduced by keeping down the water content so that there are fewer microorganisms. He also suggested that wastes should be collected while they are still fresh, and not left lying for a long time.

Dr. Pravee asked whether bacterial cultures are added to the wastes in the digester, and Dr. Sheen replied that they are not, since he and his colleagues feel that these do little to assist the fermentation process. Wastes in the digester contain large numbers of microorganisms which are already adapted to the type of materials and plant. However, if a new digester is being used for the first time, sludge from the operating digester can be added to get the reaction started. Even if this is not done, fermentation will still be working well after one week. Dr. Sheen was also asked about commercial microbial products which are claimed to reduce smell and improve fermentation efficiency. Dr. Sheen felt that in his experience, these were not very effective, although extravagant claims are often made for these products.

Dr. Harada commented that he had found that the microorganisms in microbial products sold in Japan were not very effective, and that he and his colleagues had begun a project to select effective microorganisms. Director Koh of the Food and Fertilizer Technology Center mentioned that some claims had been made that the addition of 5% zeolite to swine rations could reduce the foul smell of swine manure. Dr. Pravee said that one product on sale in Thailand, EM (standing for Effective Microorganisms) is now being tested by Kasetsart University, in response to pressure from farmers who claim it is effective. More than 24 different species of microorganism had been isolated in this product. The results are still being evaluated, but the product does seem to be effective in reducing the offensive smell of livestock wastes.